

Oregon State Bar Complaint

July 4th 2018

Complaint against: Charlie Van Rossen

Court Appointed Financial Receiver

Conflict of Interest Complaint

It is my complaint to bring attention to the issues that occurred during our financial receivership.

It was approved and appointed by our counsel and both parties, and I was told was to make sure that our rights were upheld and finances handled in accordance to contract.

Mr. VanRossen did for the most part a fine job. But, whether to his fault or solely the attorneys some inherent conflicts occurred that I wanted to state for the record.

He was chosen by our counsel Amy Margolis, which we now have discovered was working in divergent interests and potential malpractice.

Mr. VanRossen was very close with the opposing attorney being married to a judge and Katherine Heekin's best friend.

Mrs. Heekin is a very aggressive lawyer and I watched her run people out of the case with the desire to get as far away from her as possible through her exhausting legal demand. This occurred with Mr. VanRossen.

Mrs. Heekin objected to virtually anything she could even though contractually allowed. Even so much as I was not granted a stipend to live and pay for legal but was demanded to perform the endless labor for their profit.

Mr. VanRossen bent to her every will, and I was just told to take my issue with the judge. Which was incredibly problematic. My representation was unwilling to work, I was unable to pay for anything, and I was appearing in court for the first time and trying to write my motions for my counsel to submit for our defense. While working countless hours.

Eventually Mr. VanRossen was the mediator. Although his advice to just let the opposition have it all and that we were young and would recover was in earnest, I am a living testimony that his suggestion has become an impossibility. Because it is still relentless.

I am writing this to inform the Oregon State Bar some of the conflicts of our receivership and situation and to provide some explanation as to why we were ultimately forced into settlement and foregoing of our assets now.

I am unsure if anything actionable was committed but it is non the less an important representation of the bias that we were victims of.

EVIDENCE-

Communications and pages during financial receivership
Emails concerning the receivership and financial abuse